

[MS-OXCETF]: Enriched Text Format (ETF) Message Body Conversion Protocol Specification

Intellectual Property Rights Notice for Open Specifications Documentation

- **Technical Documentation.** Microsoft publishes Open Specifications documentation for protocols, file formats, languages, standards as well as overviews of the interaction among each of these technologies.
- **Copyrights.** This documentation is covered by Microsoft copyrights. Regardless of any other terms that are contained in the terms of use for the Microsoft website that hosts this documentation, you may make copies of it in order to develop implementations of the technologies described in the Open Specifications and may distribute portions of it in your implementations using these technologies or your documentation as necessary to properly document the implementation. You may also distribute in your implementation, with or without modification, any schema, IDL's, or code samples that are included in the documentation. This permission also applies to any documents that are referenced in the Open Specifications.
- **No Trade Secrets.** Microsoft does not claim any trade secret rights in this documentation.
- **Patents.** Microsoft has patents that may cover your implementations of the technologies described in the Open Specifications. Neither this notice nor Microsoft's delivery of the documentation grants any licenses under those or any other Microsoft patents. However, a given Open Specification may be covered by Microsoft's Open Specification Promise (available here: <http://www.microsoft.com/interop/osp>) or the Community Promise (available here: <http://www.microsoft.com/interop/cp/default.mspx>). If you would prefer a written license, or if the technologies described in the Open Specifications are not covered by the Open Specifications Promise or Community Promise, as applicable, patent licenses are available by contacting iplq@microsoft.com.
- **Trademarks.** The names of companies and products contained in this documentation may be covered by trademarks or similar intellectual property rights. This notice does not grant any licenses under those rights.
- **Fictitious Names.** The example companies, organizations, products, domain names, e-mail addresses, logos, people, places, and events depicted in this documentation are fictitious. No association with any real company, organization, product, domain name, email address, logo, person, place, or event is intended or should be inferred.

Reservation of Rights. All other rights are reserved, and this notice does not grant any rights other than specifically described above, whether by implication, estoppel, or otherwise.

Tools. The Open Specifications do not require the use of Microsoft programming tools or programming environments in order for you to develop an implementation. If you have access to Microsoft programming tools and environments you are free to take advantage of them. Certain Open Specifications are intended for use in conjunction with publicly available standard specifications and network programming art, and assumes that the reader either is familiar with the aforementioned material or has immediate access to it.

Revision Summary

Date	Revision History	Revision Class	Comments
04/04/2008	0.1		Initial Availability.
06/27/2008	1.0		Initial Release.
08/06/2008	1.01		Revised and edited technical content.
09/03/2008	1.02		Updated references.
12/03/2008	1.03		Revised and edited technical content.
03/04/2009	1.04		Revised and edited technical content.
04/10/2009	2.0		Updated applicable product releases.
07/15/2009	3.0	Major	Revised and edited for technical content.
11/04/2009	3.0.1	Editorial	Revised and edited the technical content.
02/10/2010	3.0.1	None	Version 3.0.1 release
05/05/2010	3.1.0	Minor	Updated the technical content.
08/04/2010	3.2	Minor	Clarified the meaning of the technical content.
11/03/2010	3.3	Minor	Clarified the meaning of the technical content.

Contents

1	Introduction	4
1.1	Glossary	4
1.2	References	4
1.2.1	Normative References	4
1.2.2	Informative References	5
1.3	Overview	5
1.4	Relationship to Other Protocols	5
1.5	Prerequisites/Preconditions	5
1.6	Applicability Statement	5
1.7	Versioning and Capability Negotiation	5
1.8	Vendor-Extensible Fields	5
1.9	Standards Assignments	5
2	Messages	6
2.1	Transport	6
2.2	Message Syntax	6
3	Protocol Details	7
3.1	Common Details	7
3.1.1	Abstract Data Model	7
3.1.2	Timers	7
3.1.3	Initialization	7
3.1.4	Higher-Layer Triggered Events	7
3.1.5	Message Processing Events and Sequencing Rules	7
3.1.6	Timer Events	7
3.1.7	Other Local Events	7
4	Protocol Examples	8
5	Security	9
5.1	Security Considerations for Implementers	9
5.2	Index of Security Parameters	9
6	Appendix A: Product Behavior	10
7	Change Tracking	11
8	Index	13

1 Introduction

Text/enriched is a **MIME content-type**, as specified in [\[RFC1896\]](#). According to [\[RFC2046\]](#), the text/enriched content-type might appear in a **MIME message** as one of its parts. This document specifies server behavior when text/enriched is the content-type of the primary textual portion of an e-mail **message**.

1.1 Glossary

The following terms are defined in [\[MS-OXGLOS\]](#):

E-Mail Text Body header
Hypertext Markup Language (HTML)
Internet Message Access Protocol – Version 4 (IMAP4)
Mail User Agent (MUA)
message
MIME
MIME content-type
MIME entity
MIME message
plain text
Post Office Protocol-Version 3 (POP3)
property (1)
Rich Text Format (RTF)

The following terms are specific to this document:

Enriched Text Format (ETF): The format of the text section of a **MIME entity** (within a **MIME message**) when the content-type **header** is set to "text/enriched". The text/enriched content-type is described in [\[RFC1896\]](#).

MAY, SHOULD, MUST, SHOULD NOT, MUST NOT: These terms (in all caps) are used as described in [\[RFC2119\]](#). All statements of optional behavior use either MAY, SHOULD, or SHOULD NOT.

1.2 References

1.2.1 Normative References

We conduct frequent surveys of the normative references to assure their continued availability. If you have any issue with finding a normative reference, please contact dochelp@microsoft.com. We will assist you in finding the relevant information. Please check the archive site, <http://msdn2.microsoft.com/en-us/library/E4BD6494-06AD-4aed-9823-445E921C9624>, as an additional source.

[MS-OXBBODY] Microsoft Corporation, "[Best Body Retrieval Protocol Specification](#)", April 2008.

[MS-OXCMAIL] Microsoft Corporation, "[RFC2822 and MIME to E-Mail Object Conversion Protocol Specification](#)", April 2008.

[RFC1896] Resnick, P., and Walker, A., "The text/enriched MIME Content-type", RFC 1896, February 1996, <ftp://ftp.rfc-editor.org/in-notes/rfc1896.txt>

[RFC2046] Freed, N., and Borenstein, N., "Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) Part Two: Media Types", RFC 2046, November 1996, <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2046.txt>

[RFC2049] Freed, N., and Borenstein, N., "Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) Part Five: Conformance Criteria and Examples", RFC 2049, November 1996, <ftp://ftp.rfc-editor.org/in-notes/rfc2049.txt>

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997, <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt>

1.2.2 Informative References

[MS-OXGLOS] Microsoft Corporation, "[Exchange Server Protocols Master Glossary](#)", April 2008.

1.3 Overview

The server deals with **E-Mail Text Bodies** in two areas: receive handling and automatic generation.

The interaction of the server with an E-Mail Text Body on an inbound message is important because **MIME** defines a mechanism for e-mail to be composed with alternative representations of the E-Mail Text Body. Therefore, the server has to either be capable of storing content in its original incoming format(s) or be capable of converting content from its original format to a storable format. This specification does not define how the server is to handle and store the alternative representations of the E-Mail Text Body; that decision is implementation-specific.

1.4 Relationship to Other Protocols

The transmission protocols for clients that currently support fidelity restrictions are limited to **POP3** and **IMAP4**.

1.5 Prerequisites/Preconditions

None.

1.6 Applicability Statement

The purpose of **Enriched Text Format (ETF)** as a text format is to offer basic formatting abilities over simple text ("text/plain") content. There are **Mail User Agent (MUA)** implementations that support only ETF and **plain text**, and the server can return either plain text or an ETF interpretation of a richer format.

1.7 Versioning and Capability Negotiation

None.

1.8 Vendor-Extensible Fields

None.

1.9 Standards Assignments

None.

2 Messages

2.1 Transport

Message transport is defined by the MUA transmission protocol.

2.2 Message Syntax

Message syntax is specified in [\[RFC1896\]](#).

3 Protocol Details

3.1 Common Details

When it receives Internet mail that has an ETF E-Mail Text Body, the server can preserve this entity in its entirety or convert it to another alternate rendering such as **HTML**, **RTF**, or plain text.

Note that the Best Body Retrieval protocol, as specified in [\[MS-OXBBODY\]](#), does not include a **property** to directly represent ETF. As specified in [\[MS-OXBBODY\]](#), a server can provide a representation of the body in one of the client-requested body formats.

For details about how the server handles **MIME entities** with a content-type of text/enriched that are not an alternative rendering of the E-Mail Text Body, see [\[MS-OXCMAIL\]](#).

For outbound e-mail messages, the server can produce/generate the E-Mail Text Body in ETF format.

3.1.1 Abstract Data Model

None.

3.1.2 Timers

None.

3.1.3 Initialization

None.

3.1.4 Higher-Layer Triggered Events

None.

3.1.5 Message Processing Events and Sequencing Rules

None.

3.1.6 Timer Events

None.

3.1.7 Other Local Events

None.

4 Protocol Examples

ETF conversion cannot be shown in an example.

For an example of a MIME message that contains a content-type of text/enriched, see [\[RFC2049\]](#).

5 Security

5.1 Security Considerations for Implementers

The presence of malformed tags within an ETF entity does not invalidate that entity.

The server might choose to convert HTML or other E-Mail Text Body formats into ETF to remove the threat of script or social engineering attacks.

5.2 Index of Security Parameters

None.

6 Appendix A: Product Behavior

The information in this specification is applicable to the following Microsoft products:

- Microsoft® Office Outlook® 2003
- Microsoft® Exchange Server 2003
- Microsoft® Office Outlook® 2007
- Microsoft® Exchange Server 2007
- Microsoft® Outlook® 2010
- Microsoft® Exchange Server 2010

Exceptions, if any, are noted below. If a service pack number appears with the product version, behavior changed in that service pack. The new behavior also applies to subsequent service packs of the product unless otherwise specified. If a product edition appears with the product version, behavior is different in that product edition.

Unless otherwise specified, any statement of optional behavior in this specification prescribed using the terms SHOULD or SHOULD NOT implies product behavior in accordance with the SHOULD or SHOULD NOT prescription. Unless otherwise specified, the term MAY implies that product does not follow the prescription.

7 Change Tracking

This section identifies changes that were made to the [MS-OXCETF] protocol document between the August 2010 and November 2010 releases. Changes are classified as New, Major, Minor, Editorial, or No change.

The revision class **New** means that a new document is being released.

The revision class **Major** means that the technical content in the document was significantly revised. Major changes affect protocol interoperability or implementation. Examples of major changes are:

- A document revision that incorporates changes to interoperability requirements or functionality.
- An extensive rewrite, addition, or deletion of major portions of content.
- Changes made for template compliance.
- Removal of a document from the documentation set.

The revision class **Minor** means that the meaning of the technical content was clarified. Minor changes do not affect protocol interoperability or implementation. Examples of minor changes are updates to clarify ambiguity at the sentence, paragraph, or table level.

The revision class **Editorial** means that the language and formatting in the technical content was changed. Editorial changes apply to grammatical, formatting, and style issues.

The revision class **No change** means that no new technical or language changes were introduced. The technical content of the document is identical to the last released version, but minor editorial and formatting changes, as well as updates to the header and footer information, and to the revision summary, may have been made.

Major and minor changes can be described further using the following change types:

- New content added.
- Content updated.
- Content removed.
- New product behavior note added.
- Product behavior note updated.
- Product behavior note removed.
- New protocol syntax added.
- Protocol syntax updated.
- Protocol syntax removed.
- New content added due to protocol revision.
- Content updated due to protocol revision.
- Content removed due to protocol revision.
- New protocol syntax added due to protocol revision.

- Protocol syntax updated due to protocol revision.
- Protocol syntax removed due to protocol revision.
- New content added for template compliance.
- Content updated for template compliance.
- Content removed for template compliance.
- Obsolete document removed.

Editorial changes are always classified with the change type "Editorially updated."

Some important terms used in revision type descriptions are defined as follows:

- **Protocol syntax** refers to data elements (such as packets, structures, enumerations, and methods) as well as interfaces.
- **Protocol revision** refers to changes made to a protocol that affect the bits that are sent over the wire.

The changes made to this document are listed in the following table. For more information, please contact protocol@microsoft.com.

Section	Tracking number (if applicable) and description	Major change (Y or N)	Change Type
1.2.1 Normative References	56450 Removed reference [RFC2822].	N	Content updated.

8 Index

A

[Applicability](#) 5

C

[Capability negotiation](#) 5

[Change tracking](#) 11

Client

[overview](#) 7

E

Examples

[overview](#) 8

F

[Fields – vendor-extensible](#) 5

G

[Glossary](#) 4

I

[implementer – security considerations](#) 9

[Index of security parameters](#) 9

[Informative references](#) 5

[Introduction](#) 4

M

Messages

[overview](#) 6

[transport](#) 6

N

[Normative references](#) 4

O

[Overview \(synopsis\)](#) 5

P

[Parameters – security index](#) 9

[Preconditions](#) 5

[Prerequisites](#) 5

[Product behavior](#) 10

Proxy

[overview](#) 7

R

References

[informative](#) 5

[normative](#) 4

[Relationship to other protocols](#) 5

S

Security

[implementer considerations](#) 9

[overview](#) 9

[parameter index](#) 9

Server

[overview](#) 7

[Standards Assignments](#) 5

T

[Tracking changes](#) 11

[Transport](#) 6

V

[Vendor-extensible fields](#) 5

[Versioning](#) 5