From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject:	AE Louisy <louisy.ae@gmail.com> Tuesday, August 21, 2018 12:02 PM pqc-comments pqc-forum@list.nist.gov OFFICIAL COMMENT: DualModeMS</louisy.ae@gmail.com>
Dear DualModeMS team,	
I have two questions concerning your scheme:	
In order to obtain EUF-CMA security, a modification is made to the Inner Layer. This modification is based on adding an I-long bit string to the original digest to compute a new one. I was wondering what value of I is chosen for the three parameter sets given.	
public key. I unde	know how exactly the choice to make 2^delta trees instead of one changes the size of the erstand that having several trees means that each root needs a tag to identify it, but that key sizes still slightly smaller than the ones given in the supporting documentation.
Sincerely,	
A-F. Louisy	

Student in cryptography at Versailles University

From: Jocelyn Ryckeghem < Jocelyn.Ryckeghem@lip6.fr>

Sent: Monday, September 10, 2018 9:49 AM

To: pqc-comments; AE Louisy

Cc: pqc-forum@list.nist.gov; Jean-Charles Faugere; Ludovic Perret

Subject: Re: [pqc-forum] OFFICIAL COMMENT: DualModeMS

Dear Louisy,

In DualModeMS, 2^delta is the number of Merkle trees. Each root is stored in the public key, so the size of the public key is 2^delta

SHA3 hash. Moreover, we add in the public key a seed of K bits (K is the level of security in bits). It is used to generate Z, a set of tau elements of GF(2^k).

So, the size of the public key is:

for K=128, 2⁴ * 256 bits + 128 bits = 528 bytes.

for K=192, 2⁵ * 384 bits + 192 bits = 1560 bytes.

for K=256, 2^5 * 512 bits + 256 bits = 2080 bytes.

In the specification, the size of the public for K=256 is noted as 2112 bytes. This is a typo, the true size is 2080 bytes.

About the EUF-CMA security of the Inner layer, our implementation does not propose this functionality. However, as also mentioned in the GeMSS specification, there is a standard technique that allows to obtain EUF-CMA security for the Inner layer. The length I of a random salt should be 128 bits (for the three parameter sets) since the number of signature requests is assumed limited to 2^64.

Best regards, the DualModeMS team.

AE Louisy <louisy.ae@gmail.com> wrote:

- > Dear DualModeMS team,
- >
- > I have two questions concerning your scheme:
- >
- > In order to obtain EUF-CMA security, a modification is made to the > Inner Layer. This modification is based on adding an I-long bit string
- > to the original digest to compute a new one. I was wondering what
- > value of I is chosen for the three parameter sets given.

_