



INTERNATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATION UNION

ITU-T

TELECOMMUNICATION
STANDARDIZATION SECTOR
OF ITU

K.38

(10/96)

SERIES K: PROTECTION AGAINST INTERFERENCE

**Radiated emission test procedure for physically
large systems**

ITU-T Recommendation K.38

(Previously CCITT Recommendation)

ITU-T K-SERIES RECOMMENDATIONS
PROTECTION AGAINST INTERFERENCE

For further details, please refer to ITU-T List of Recommendations.

FOREWORD

The ITU-T (Telecommunication Standardization Sector) is a permanent organ of the International Telecommunication Union (ITU). The ITU-T is responsible for studying technical, operating and tariff questions and issuing Recommendations on them with a view to standardizing telecommunications on a worldwide basis.

The World Telecommunication Standardization Conference (WTSC), which meets every four years, establishes the topics for study by the ITU-T Study Groups which, in their turn, produce Recommendations on these topics.

The approval of Recommendations by the Members of the ITU-T is covered by the procedure laid down in WTSC Resolution No. 1 (Helsinki, March 1-12, 1993).

ITU-T Recommendation K.38, was prepared by ITU-T Study Group 5 (1993-1996) and was approved by the WTSC (Geneva, October 9-18, 1996).

NOTES

1. In this Recommendation, the expression "Administration" is used for conciseness to indicate both a telecommunication administration and a recognized operating agency.
2. The status of annexes and appendices attached to the Series K Recommendations should be interpreted as follows:
 - an *annex* to a Recommendation forms an integral part of the Recommendation;
 - an *appendix* to a Recommendation does not form part of the Recommendation and only provides some complementary explanation or information specific to that Recommendation.

© ITU 1997

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from the ITU.

CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
2.1 Bibliography	1
3 Definitions and abbreviations.....	1
3.1 Definitions	1
3.2 Abbreviations.....	2
4 Minimum representative system.....	2
4.1 Determination of minimum representative system	2
4.2 New functional modules	2
5 General operational conditions.....	3
5.1 Equipment configuration	3
5.2 Equipment cable layout	4
5.3 Exercising equipment	6
5.4 Laboratory environment	6
6 Measurement method	6
7 Test results report.....	7

SUMMARY

This Recommendation specifies the technical requirements for the radiated emission measurement procedure for physically large systems used within the public telecommunication network. A minimum representative system is defined, which is used for compliance testing of physically large telecommunication systems.

RADIATED EMISSION TEST PROCEDURE FOR PHYSICALLY LARGE SYSTEMS

(Geneva, 1996)

1 Scope

This Recommendation specifies the technical requirements for the radiated emission measurement procedure for physically large systems used within the public telecommunication network, with the exception of radio equipment.

It applies to physically large systems which are comprised of equipments or systems requiring installation documentation specific for those sites at which they are to be installed. In order to demonstrate compliance of such systems, a minimum representative system is defined, which is used for compliance testing. Installations built from units of the complying minimum representative system are deemed to satisfy the radiated emission requirements.

The minimum representative system is representative of installed systems in terms of function (which includes at least one of each functional unit type) and electromagnetic radiation characteristics. The minimum representative system is subsequently referred to in this Recommendation as the Equipment Under Test (EUT), to be used for compliance testing.

Minimum representative systems shall be tested on an Open Area Test Site (OATS) or in a suitable chamber, the limits to be used are those as specified in CISPR 22 [1].

2 Normative references

The following ITU-T Recommendations and other references contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this Recommendation. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All Recommendations and other references are subject to revision; all users of this Recommendation are therefore encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent edition of the Recommendations and other references listed below. A list of the currently valid ITU-T Recommendations is regularly published.

- [1] CISPR 22, *Limits and methods of measurement of radio disturbance characteristics of information technology equipment*.
- [2] CISPR Publication No. 16, *CISPR specification for radio interference measuring apparatus and measurement methods*.
- [3] IEC 50(161): 1990, *International Electrotechnical Vocabulary – Chapter 161: Electromagnetic compatibility*.

2.1 Bibliography

- ETS 300 127, *Equipment Engineering (EE) Radiated emission testing of physically large telecommunications installations*.

3 Definitions and abbreviations

3.1 Definitions

This Recommendation defines the following terms, together with those from IEC 50(161) [3].

3.1.1 system: A set of subsystems which, when connected together, produce a fully operational product and is intended to be marketed as such.

3.1.2 subsystem: A grouping of functional units which perform specific functions within the host system and which communicate with other equipments via well-defined interfaces and protocols.

3.1.3 functional unit: A grouping of electronic hardware which performs specific functions, but may be connected with other functional units to produce the required subsystem.

3.1.4 new functional module: A replacement and/or addition for any grouping or arrangement of electronic hardware (with its associated mechanical packaging and interconnections), which enhances or improves the system operation.

3.1.5 test site: This should be an OATS, with reflecting ground plane, or a suitable chamber with reflecting ground plane.

3.1.6 minimum representative system: The minimum representative system is representative of installed systems in terms of function (which includes at least one of each functional unit type) and electromagnetic radiation characteristics. This shall be equipped to at least the minimum configuration which could be offered for sale for use as an actual system. It excludes any operational equipment connected for the purpose of monitoring or system measurements and which are connected for a temporary period. An example of how the EUT is to be selected is given in Figure 1.

3.1.7 cable distribution point: The cable distribution point is the interface at which cabling shall be terminated; this unit is the point at which cabling from the system is connected to the cabling from external units.

3.1.8 physically large system: A group of racks functionally connected to form a commercially specified system, which has a total dimension exceeding that which is practical for testing on a conventional 10 m test site.

3.2 Abbreviations

This Recommendation uses the following abbreviations

EUT Equipment Under Test

OATS Open Area Test Site

4 Minimum representative system

The recommended method for determining the minimum representative system is given here. Operators have the option to accept testing by alternative methods but these methods carry a higher risk of the telecommunications system causing interference.

4.1 Determination of minimum representative system


The minimum representative system is a system which contains the minimum number of units needed to perform all functions specified for the system.

4.2 New functional modules

Functional modules used in the system tests may be individually characterized in a defined test facility (see 5.1). This characterization may then be used as a reference to determine the effect of any significant design changes.

Using this technique, the performance of new functional modules may be assessed and the test plan, written in such a way as to demonstrate that the representative system continues to conform when a functional module has been replaced by a new functional module. The results obtained for new functional modules shall be compared with those from the previous module.

The manufacturer shall clearly demonstrate and document the reasons why a new functional module does not require a complete representative system retest. If the module constitutes a major change to the system configuration, a complete representative system test shall be performed.



T0507030-96/d01

Figure 1/K.38 – Example of selection of representative system

5 General operational conditions

5.1 Equipment configuration

The EUT shall conform to the manufacturer's normal installation practice. There exists for each EUT a minimum set of interface lines which is required for the operation of the system and this number shall be defined for each EUT and identified in the test report.

The EUT shall be assembled in such a way that emissions are maximized within any limitations imposed by normal installation practice.

5.1.1 Equipment boundary

For the purpose of determining the equipment boundary, from which the tests distance is taken, the equipment boundary shall be the straight line envelope around the EUT, this includes the cables specified for attachment to the EUT for the purpose of test. Measurement distances are to be taken from this line.

5.2 Equipment cable layout

5.2.1 Intra-system cabling

All cables internal to the system, and used for its operation, shall be connected and be of such a length and type required for the normal operation of the system. These shall be routed in accordance with the relevant system installation instructions, such that these are typical of an installed system.

The system shall normally be configured in accordance with CISPR 22 requirements for floor standing equipment. An alternative set-up using a raised floor is acceptable for systems operated in this way.

If raised floor systems be characterized as the worst case and used for testing, and if the inter-unit cable routing is into the raised floor system, the effect of the raised floor system shall be examined. The raised floor shall be left in place if it forms part of the system screen, but where the raised floor is not intended to be used as a screen, then in order to prevent incidental screening of emissions, the floor panels shall be removed for the duration of the test.

5.2.2 Interface cabling

Cables between the system, the distribution point and external units, shall be of the type as specified by the system supplier or as detailed by customer requirements, and shall follow the relevant system installation practice. Care must be taken to ensure that noise from the test and exercising equipment does not contribute to the emissions from the representative system. The method of orientating and terminating the cables shall be noted in the test report.

The emission contributions from the system, cables and distribution point (where all of these elements are involved) are difficult to separate; there are two cases to be considered:

- 1) unscreened cable systems;
- 2) screened cable systems.

Screening is achieved by one of two methods:

- a) by use of screened cabling;
- b) by the use of a screened floor or ducted systems in which unscreened cabling is run.

Measurement of these installation practices is performed as described in 5.2.2.1 and 5.2.2.2.

5.2.2.1 Unscreened cable systems

Wherever the distribution point is located, measurement shall be made using a length of unscreened cabling configured as described in 5.2.2.1.1 and 5.2.2.1.2. Beyond this minimum length, the cabling shall be taken off the measurement site below the ground plane to the exercising equipment. Where this is not practicable the cabling may run in screened sleeving which is bonded to the measurement site ground plane.

Two types of cable installation practice shall be considered.

5.2.2.1.1 Overhead cable systems

An overhead cable system is defined as one where cables are routed above the equipment. On exiting from the EUT, all cabling shall run perpendicularly from the EUT in the horizontal plane for 1.5 metres, before dropping to the ground plane.


Support of the cabling shall be of the type specified by the system supplier, or as detailed by customer requirements, and shall follow the relevant system installation practice. Where the system supplier or customer do not specify cable supports, then non-conducting unscreened cable supports shall be used. The height and position of the cables shall be noted in the test report (see Figure 2). The cabling shall be taken off the measurement site below the ground plane to the exercising equipment. Where this is not practicable, the cabling may run in screened sleeving which is bonded to the measurement site ground plane.

5.2.2.1.2 Raised floors

Where a raised floor system is used during testing (but the raised floor does not form part of the screen) then all cabling will drop through the raised floor towards the ground plane and run perpendicularly from the EUT in the horizontal plane for 1.5 metres, the raised floor being removed (see 5.2.1). The cabling shall then be taken off the measurement site

below the ground plane to the exercising equipment. Where this is not practicable the cabling may run in screened sleeving, which is bonded to the measurement site ground plane. All exposed, unscreened cabling, shall be on a support at a height of 10 cm (see Figure 3).

The support shall be of the type specified by the system supplier, or as detailed by customer requirements, and shall follow the relevant system installation practice. Where the system supplier or customer do not specify cable supports, then non-conducting, unscreened cable supports shall be used.



NOTE 1 – The EUT is separated from the ground plane with an electrically isolating support, and electrically bonded to the site power supply ground using normal installation practice as possible.

NOTE 2 – For clarity, only a single rack EUT is shown.

NOTE 3 – It is acceptable to test with the cable supported at the height of the rack if this is a normal installation practice.


Figure 2/K.38 – Overhead cable systems – Example for a test set-up

5.2.2.2 Screened cable systems

Where a screened cabling system is used throughout the installation, cabling typical of the installation practice shall be used during testing. Co-axial cables connected to the system is an example of screened cabling.

Where screened cabling is used between a system and an unscreened distribution point, and unscreened cabling is used beyond that point, then a test configuration shall be adopted which exposes the unscreened cabling to the measurement antenna. This shall be achieved by arranging the EUT with an unscreened distribution point located at the closest possible point to the system and forming part of the EUT. The unscreened cabling shall then be exposed, following the principles as defined in 5.2.2.1.

The length of screened cabling between the system and the unscreened distribution point shall be the minimum length which could be used on any real installation. This minimum length shall be defined by the system supplier and the excess length shall be bundled for low inductance. This length should be 10 m, unless otherwise specified. Figure 4 shows an example of such a test set-up.



NOTE 1 – If the raised floor does not form part of the screen, the floor panels are removed. The raised floor is isolated from the EUT screen.

NOTE 2 – For clarity, only a single rack EUT is shown.

Figure 3/K.38 – Raised floor – Example for a test set-up

5.3 Exercising equipment

The exercising equipment shall be sufficiently isolated from the EUT such that the exercising equipment does not significantly influence the test results.

5.4 Laboratory environment

Unless otherwise specified, the test environment shall conform to the manufacturer's operating climatic conditions for the equipment.

6 Measurement method


The EUT shall be working in accordance with its functional specification, and should be exercised as fully as necessary during testing, to ensure that the maximum emissions are achieved.

Where the EUT is not capable of being mounted on a turntable, it shall be assembled on the ground plane (but isolated from it).

The antenna shall be scanned in height between one and four metres in order to maximize the level of the received emissions. Measurement shall be made in both horizontal and vertical polarizations. The antenna shall be placed at 10 m from the border of the EUT, with cable layout as described in 5.2 and with reference to Figures 2, 3 and 4.

Measurements shall be made at a minimum of 8 angles to ensure compliance of the system (see Figure 5).

- 1 Minimum Representative telecommunications System (MRS). For clarity a three-rack configuration is shown
- 2 Unscreened distribution point
- 3 Screened cabling between MRS and unscreened distribution point, bundled to low inductance
- 4 Unscreened signal cabling
- 5 Power cables



NOTE – Antenna scanned in height between 1 and 4 metres for horizontal and vertical polarization.


Figure 4/K.38 – Screened cable systems with unscreened distribution point – Example for a test set-up

7 Test results report

The test report shall include all test conditions and results together with the methods of test used. The selection shall show that the functional units so tested are those that would form the basis of a minimum installable system. Included in the test report shall be:

- a) selection procedure for the EUT;
- b) reasons for the selection of those functional units;
- c) description of cable layout;
- d) description of actions taken to maximize the emissions from the EUT;

- e) a description of the exercising equipment used to exercise the EUT;
- f) a detailed description of the operational modes of the EUT used during the tests;
- g) a description of the test conditions including photographs and plan of the site and EUT where appropriate;
- h) the test results;
- i) a statement regarding the calibration status of the test equipment;
- j) a description of the test site;
- k) a detailed description of the equipment comprising the EUT;
- l) a description of the software used to exercise the EUT.



NOTE – The envelope of the EUT in this figure includes the cables as shown in Figures 2, 3 and 4.

Figure 5/K.38 – Angles of measurement

ITU-T RECOMMENDATIONS SERIES

- Series A Organization of the work of the ITU-T
- Series B Means of expression
- Series C General telecommunication statistics
- Series D General tariff principles
- Series E Telephone network and ISDN
- Series F Non-telephone telecommunication services
- Series G Transmission systems and media
- Series H Transmission of non-telephone signals
- Series I Integrated services digital network
- Series J Transmission of sound-programme and television signals
- Series K Protection against interference**
- Series L Construction, installation and protection of cables and other elements of outside plant
- Series M Maintenance: international transmission systems, telephone circuits, telegraphy, facsimile and leased circuits
- Series N Maintenance: international sound-programme and television transmission circuits
- Series O Specifications of measuring equipment
- Series P Telephone transmission quality
- Series Q Switching and signalling
- Series R Telegraph transmission
- Series S Telegraph services terminal equipment
- Series T Terminal equipments and protocols for telematic services
- Series U Telegraph switching
- Series V Data communication over the telephone network
- Series X Data networks and open system communication
- Series Z Programming languages