

INTERNATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATION UNION



TELECOMMUNICATION STANDARDIZATION SECTOR OF ITU **J.18**

TELEVISION AND SOUND TRANSMISSION

CROSSTALK IN SOUND - PROGRAMME CIRCUITS SET UP ON CARRIER SYSTEMS

ITU-T Recommendation J.18

(Extract from the Blue Book)

NOTES

1 ITU-T Recommendation J.18 was published in Fascicle III.6 of the *Blue Book*. This file is an extract from the *Blue Book*. While the presentation and layout of the text might be slightly different from the *Blue Book* version, the contents of the file are identical to the *Blue Book* version and copyright conditions remain unchanged (see below).

2 In this Recommendation, the expression "Administration" is used for conciseness to indicate both a telecommunication administration and a recognized operating agency.

© ITU 1988, 1993

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from the ITU.

Recommendation J.18

CROSSTALK IN SOUND-PROGRAMME CIRCUITS SET UP ON CARRIER SYSTEMS

(Geneva, 1972; amended at Geneva, 1980)

This Recommendation outlines the principles followed by the CCITT in determining what limits are appropriately set for sources of crosstalk affecting sound-programme circuits and other principles which Administrations might apply to ensure that the objectives for intelligible crosstalk in sound-programme circuits are achieved in practice.

1 The causes of crosstalk arising in the transmission parts of telecommunications networks occur in:

- a) frequency translating equipments at all levels, viz. audio, group, supergroup, and higher order translating equipments;
- b) group, supergroup, etc., through-connection equipments (i.e. filter characteristics);
- c) transmission systems, both the line (including repeater) and station equipments.

Different crosstalk mechanisms, e.g. inductive, capacitive and other couplings, intermodulation involving continuous fixed-frequency tones such as pilots, etc., operate in these equipments and systems. A particular channel may thus be disturbed by intelligible crosstalk from a number of potential disturbing sources.

However, because of the interconnections which occur at distribution points along the length of a sound-programme circuit, the same disturbing and disturbed signals are rarely involved in more than one exposure.

2 Only the more important crosstalk mechanisms are the subject of Recommendations (e.g. coaxial and balanced pair cable repeater section FEXT limits of the Series J Recommendations, Section 3); the limits are such that at least the objectives for intelligible crosstalk ratio between *telephone* circuits (generally 65 dB, Recommendation G.151 [1]) may be met. In some cases it is practicable to take into account the more stringent objectives for *sound-programme* circuits (Recommendations J.21, J.22 and J.23). Certain crosstalk mechanisms, because they are not significant for telephony (e.g. near-end crosstalk limits for cable repeater sections), are not the subject of Recommendations; nevertheless, they may be significant in relation to sound-programme circuit objectives.

In principle, a probability of exposure can be attributed to each source of crosstalk, not all potential sources exerting their influence in every case. Given the respective probabilities and distributions, the risk of encountering low values of crosstalk attenuation could be calculated.

Without carrying out this analysis it is estimated that the risk of encountering adverse systematic addition for some sources is small and the allocation of the complete overall objective to a single source of crosstalk as the minimum value of crosstalk attenuation appears justifiable. For other sources, particularly where the equipments involved are specifically intended for sound-programme transmission, it is appropriate to require some higher minimum attenuation values so as to allow for some adverse addition (Recommendation G.242 [2]) specifying through-connection filter discrimination requirements against out-of-band components in the band occupied by sound-programme circuits is an example).

- **3** For these reasons meeting intelligible crosstalk objectives on sound-programme circuits in practice depends on:
 - a) reasonable care in the allocation of plant for sound-programme circuits, so that the principal crosstalk mechanisms, a single exposure to any of which may itself suffice to exceed the objective, are avoided.

Among these mechanisms are:

- far-end and near-end crosstalk at certain frequency bands in line-repeater sections (e.g. the lowest and highest frequency bands of coaxial systems);
- systematic addition of near-end crosstalk between go and return channels of a group link;

b) readiness to change allocated plant in the few cases where crosstalk is excessive because of systematic addition of two or more disturbing sources.

4 The CCITT limits agreed for crosstalk ratios between bands potentially occupied by sound-programme circuits are in terms of effects at single frequencies. The following factors need to be taken into account when assessing from such limits the probability of encountering intelligible crosstalk into real sound-programme circuits:

- a) no methods of assessing the subjective effects of intelligible crosstalk in the bands occupied by soundprogramme circuits have as yet been standardized;
- b) the intelligibility of crosstalk can be affected by:
 - the use of emphasis in the disturbed circuit;
 - noise masking effects;
 - modulation arrangements (e.g. double sideband) in the disturbed circuit;
 - frequency offsets and inversions;
 - the use of compandors;
- c) the mechanisms most liable to cause excessive intelligible crosstalk are, in general, highly frequencydependent. These cases are those readily prevented by selective plant allocation advocated in § 3 above;
- d) crosstalk attenuation can, as a rule, be characterized by a mean value and a standard deviation; the mean value is usually several decibels higher than the worst value, which occurs with only a very small probability.

5 Go-return crosstalk

The assumptions made in the course of the CCITT study of go-return crosstalk in sound-programme circuits, and which served as the basis for the crosstalk limits prescribed in respect of group and higher-order translation equipments (Recommendation G.233 [3]), are given in the following:

- a) the nominal maximum distance of the exposure to go-return crosstalk of two sound-programme circuits occupying opposite directions of the same group link is 560 km, i.e. 2/9 of the hypothetical reference circuit distance;
- b) the equipments assumed to contribute to such go-return crosstalk are:
 - 560 km of line;
 - one pair of channel translations;
 - one pair of group translations;
 - three pairs of higher-order translations;
 - two through connections.

The corresponding calculation is given in the Annex.

It was considered that the contribution of the line to go-return crosstalk can be limited to the range of values indicated in the Annex, given that precautions outlined in § 3 above are exercised.

It is possible that, in the study of new transmission systems, the CCITT will be able to take such account of sound-programme circuit crosstalk objectives so that these precautions may be relaxed somewhat. This study is in progress in the CCITT with respect to 60 MHz systems.

ANNEX A

(to Recommendation J.18)

Calculations of overall go-return crosstalk between two sound- programme circuits occupying opposite directions of the same group link

Equipment	Crosstalk ratio limit (dB)	Crosstalk power per exposure in the disturbed circuit arising from a signal of 0 dBm0 on the disturbing circuit (pW)	Number of exposures	Total crosstalk power (pW)	Crosstalk ratio (dB)
Line	80 to 85 (single homogeneous section)	10 to 3	2 (2/9 h.r.c.)	20 to 6	77 to 82
Channel translation	85	3	2	6	82
Group translation	80	10	2	20	77
Supergroup and higher translations	85	3	6	18	77.5
Through filters (cabling)	85	3	2	6	82
Totals (without compandors)				70 to 56	71.5 to 72.5
Totals (with programme-circuit compandors with a minimum companding advantage of 10 dB)				7 to 6	<u>81.5 to 82.5</u>

References

- [1] CCITT Recommendation *General performance objectives applicable to all modern international circuits and national extension circuits*, Vol. III, Rec. G.151.
- [2] CCITT Recommendation *Through-connection of groups, supergroups, etc.*, Vol. III, Rec. G.242.
- [3] CCITT Recommendation Recommendations concerning translating equipments, Vol. III, Rec. G.233.