TELECOMMUNICATION
STANDARDIZATION SECTOR
OF ITU

F.70

OPERATIONS AND QUALITY OF SERVICE TELEGRAPH SERVICES

EVALUATING THE QUALITY OFTHE INTERNATIONAL TELEX SERVICE

ITU-T Recommendation F.70

(Extract from the Blue Book)

NOTES

1	ľ	TU-T R	Recom	mendat	ion	F.70	was p	ublis	shed	in F	Fascicl	e II.	4 of	the	Blue	Book	t. Th	is fil	e is	an (extra	ct fro	m the
Blue	Book.	While	the p	resenta	tion	and	layou	t of	the t	ext	might	be	sligł	ıtly	diffe	rent f	rom	the	Blue	Be	ook v	ersio	n, the
conte	ents of	the file	are ic	dentical	to t	he <i>Bl</i>	ue Bo	ok ve	ersio	n an	id cop	rigl	nt co	ndit	ions 1	remai	n un	chan	ged	(see	e belo	ow).	

2	In	this	Recommendation,	the	expression	"Administration"	is	used	for	conciseness	to	indicate	both	a
telecomn	nuni	catio	n administration and	d a re	ecognized or	perating agency.								

© ITU 1988, 1993

Recommendation F.70

EVALUATING THE QUALITY OF THE INTERNATIONAL TELEX SERVICE

1 Introduction

- 1.1 The Quality of Service is a measure of the perceived performance of the telex network. The perception of the service performance varies between that of the calling subscriber, the origin Administration, the destination Administration and the called subscriber. For example, national network difficulties in reaching the origin international exchange will usually be noticed only by the calling subscriber. However, if the origin or destination Administration automatically retries to reach the wanted subscriber following an unsuccessful call attempt, these reattempts are not seen by that part of the network that precedes it. Conversely, a called subscriber is unaware of the number of times that call attempts are being made to his number, if his machine is busy or out of order.
- 1.2 The main criteria of service performance from the users' viewpoint are:
 - a) the ease in establishing a connection;
 - b) the retention of the established connection;
 - c) the satisfactory transmission quality;
 - d) the integrity of billing.
- 1.3 Where possible, the critical areas of service performance should be measured in a manner that provides both origin and destination Administrations with comparable data. For example, arrangements to ensure concurrency of the periods of observation by the two Administrations involved in each given relation is of great importance. These measurement should, if possible, show the performance as perceived in the originating network and in the destination network.
- 1.4 Where a subscriber number is found to be "hard to reach" (HTR), then, if possible, this number should be separately identified to allow the origin and destination Administrations mutually to analyze the cause of the problem.

2 Method of measuring quality of service

- 2.1 Administrations should draw up a programme for telex observations designed to evaluate the quality of the service given to subscribers in their automatic and semi-automatic international services.
- 2.2 Where Administrations have equipment that automatically records details of calls, this information may be used to compile details of network performance.
- 2.3 In order to provide compatible data between the origin and destination networks, where possible, Administrations should measure the perceived performance in the origin network (e.g., at the entry point to the international gateway exchange if applicable) in addition to the performance at the international interface looking towards the destination network.

3 Analysis of results

- 3.1 Administrations should exchange data on a bilaterally agreed basis, commensurate with their operational requirements and, in principle, at least once every six months.
- 3.2 The results may then be analyzed as shown below (see also Table 1/F.70):
 - a) Check effective rate to the destination compared with the average to all destinations.
 - b) Check the current rate versus the performance as measured in the past.
 - c) Check the performance with results obtained by other Administrations to the same destination.
 - d) If the performance has suddenly degraded, perform a detailed analysis where possible, monitor circuit group performance and analyze the performance on a destination code basis. The degradation on a circuit group could be caused by a faulty circuit which, when seized, fails to successfully switch a call.
- 3.3 Administrations should investigate any HTR report (greater than 50 unsuccessful attempts to one number within a day could be defined as being HTR).

- 3.3.1 Where national regulatory arrangements allow, Administrations should check that automatic terminal equipment complies with Recommendation U.40. Where poor terminal operating procedures exist, customers should be referred to the guidelines annexed to Recommendation F.60.
- 3.3.2 The destination Administration should proceed as follows:
- 3.3.2.1 **OCC**: the called subscriber should be advised that his telex machine is very busy and that extra machines (or terminations) may be necessary.
- 3.3.2.2 **DER**: is this still a working service? If not, the service signal should be changed to **NP** or **NCH**. If the service is still working, the customer should be asked if the machine is being disabled, e.g., by switching off the power. If a computer interface is being used, is the telex machine being correctly switched in when the computer is taken offline?
- 3.3.2.3 **NP/NCH**: consult the origin Administration and ask it to take the matter up with the calling subscriber.
- 3.3.2.4 Answerback failure:
 - a) Where answerback failure occurs, the reasons should be investigated by the destination Administration.
 - b) Where a calling automatic terminal fails to interpret the answerback correctly, the reasons should be investigated by the origin Administration.
- 3.4 Where possible, Administrations should also investigate and report as necessary when frequent cases of clearing from the destination network occurs after charging commences, since a likely cause in such cases is a transmission fault during the text transmission.
- 3.5 Regular discussion should take place with other Administrations, on a bilateral basis, with a view towards improving the mutual network performance.

4 Explanation of terms used in Table 1/F.70

4.1 Effective call

An effective call is defined as a call for which a charge was made or that was successfully completed to a service position. Where possible, measurements should be corrected to take account of any calls for which the charge has been adjusted.

4.2 *Ineffective call*

Any calls or call attempts that did not result in an effective call.

4.3 *Chargeable time*

Duration between the call-connected signal and recognition of the clearing of a call, less 5 to 7 seconds (see Recommendation F.61).

4.4 Call set-up time

The time between circuit seizure and the receipt of a call-connected or service signal. This time will not be the same on the national and the international sides of the exchange.

4.5 *PTS failure*

The proceed-to-select signal has not been received within a nominated period after a call signal has been sent to the next exchange.

4.6 Service signals

(ABS, DER, NA, NC, NCH, NP, OCC) are defined in Recommendation F.60, § 4.1.

TABLE 1/F.70

International telex service observations

ADMINISTRATION:

TRAFFIC OUTGOING FROM: TO

PERIOD OF OBSERVATION: UTC TO UTC ROUTE BUSY HOUR: UTC TO UTC

Num	ber of obse	ervations	Point of observation						
National side		International side (Includes retries)	Nation	al side	International side				
			Average this destination	Average all destinations	Average this destination	Average all destinations			
	Percen	tage							
Effective calls	Charge	eable time (min. sec.)							
	Call se	tup time (min. sec.)							
Ineffective calls	Percen	tage							
	Call se	tup time (min. sec.)							
		Analysis of ineffective calls (Expressed as per	rcentage)					
	PTS Fa	ailure							
	OCC S	Signal received							
	DER Signal received								
	NP Signal received								
	ABS S	ignal received							
	NC Signal from distant network								
Outgoing circuit	NA Signal received								
selected	NCH S	Signal received							
	Other s	service signals							
	No ans	wer back after call connect							
	Clear b	by caller before call connect							
	No call	l connect (time out)							
	Cut off	f during call setup							
	Wrong	number reached							
	Others	(specify)							
No outgoing circuit	Incomp	plete number							
selected	Clearin	ng before complete number							
	Lack o	f outgoing circuits							

THE FOLLOWING NUMBERS WERE IDENTIFIED AS HARD TO REACH TELEX SUBSCRIBERS: SERVICE SIGNAL RECEIVED ON INTERNATIONAL SIDE

Called number	OCC	DER	ABS	NS	Others (specify)

Note - An explanation of terms is given in § 4.